

MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)  
United States Attorney

MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630)  
Chief, Criminal Division

CAROLYN SILANE (NYBN 4596235)  
Special Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
San Francisco, California 94102  
Telephone: (415) 436-6973  
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234  
E-Mail: carolyn.silane@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
 )  
Plaintiff, )  
 )  
v. )  
 )  
PRITESH PATEL, )  
 )  
Defendant. )

No. CR 12-0721 MMC

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~  
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER  
18 U.S.C. § 3161**

On October 25, 2012, the parties in this case appeared before the Court. At that time, the Court set the matter to November 14, 2012. For the reasons stated during the hearing, the parties have agreed to exclude the period of time between October 25, 2012 and November 14, 2012 from any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties represented that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). At the hearing, the Court made findings consistent with this agreement.

1 SO STIPULATED:

2  
3 MELINDA HAAG  
United States Attorney

4  
5 DATED: October 25, 2012

/s/  
6 CAROLYN SILANE  
Special Assistant United States Attorney

7  
8 DATED: October 25, 2012

/s/  
9 JAI GOHEL  
Attorney for PRITESH PATEL

1 [PROPOSED] ORDER

2 For the reasons stated above and at the October 25, 2012 hearing, the Court finds that the  
3 exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from October 25,  
4 2012 and November 14, 2012 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance  
5 outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C.  
6 § 3161(h)(7)(A). Denying the requested exclusion of time would deprive the parties of the  
7 reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due  
8 diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

9  
10  
11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12  
13 DATED: 10/30/12

14 THE HONORABLE  
United States Magistrate Judge

